

## Comment Set 26

**From:** <l.maack@comcast.net>  
**To:** <vanwayv@slc.ca.gov >  
**Date:** 4/12/2006 2:27 AM  
**Subject:** DEIR on New Lease for Chevron Long Wharf

**CC:** <tracbaytrail@earthlink.net>  
April 12, 2006

To: Ms. Valerie Van Way  
California State Lands Commission

Subject: DEIR on New Lease for Chevron Long Wharf

Dear Ms. Van Way:

I am writing to support the Trails for Richmond Action Committee's (TRAC) recommendation in its letter to you dated April 4 for advancing Bay Trail construction through Chevron land connecting greater Richmond with Pt. Molate and Pt. San Pablo. As a bicyclist, I have been stymied in my attempt to ride from my home in Richmond to Pt. Molate and beyond on Western Drive because there is no reasonable or safe access either to or from that area by bicycle. Having to drive to Pt. Molate to ride my bike is antithetical to the pure cycling experience, and it is especially frustrating when the missing link is so short and seemingly relatively easily within reach. I recognize, of course, that much work and cooperation are necessary in the process, but that has been the case with all segments of the Bay Trail.

Specifically, I support TRAC's recommendations for consistency with adopted local and regional plans and as mitigation for the direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of this project, TRAC recommends that mitigation be considered requiring Chevron to provide public access easements, build and maintain Class I Bay Trail segments connecting:

1. Tewksbury Avenue with the existing trail on the south side of the Richmond/San Rafael Bridge toll plaza area and
2. the north side of I-580 corridor with the City of Richmond's former Point Molate Naval Fuel Depot via the planned Bay Trail shoreline route.

Completing this project will provide the piece that will make a complete Bay Trail possible.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Yours truly,

Lynn Maack  
521 32nd Street  
Richmond, CA 94804  
510-237-5670  
l.maack@comcast.net

cc: Bruce Beyaert, TRAC Chair

26-1

## **Response to Comment Set #26**

26-1

Please refer to responses to Comments 3-1 through 3-15.